

REMARKS

The Office examined claims 1, and 3-6 and rejected same. With this paper, claim 1 is amended, claims 3-6 are canceled. The application now includes 1 claim.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC §112/Allowable Subject Matter

The Office rejected claims 1-6 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The Office also indicated that claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 USC §112, 2nd paragraph, as set forth in the Office Action.

With this paper, claim 1 is amended. It is believed that the amendment will overcome the grounds for the rejections under 35 USC §112, second paragraph. Accordingly, applicant respectfully requests the rejection of claim 1 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC §103

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable Yurugi *et al* (US Patent No. 6,767,980) in view of Ylitalo *et al* (US Patent No. 6,558,753).

These claims have been canceled with prejudice as applicant may decide to file a continuation on these claims.

Conclusion


It is believed that all of the claims of the application are now in condition for allowance, and their passage to issue is earnestly solicited. Applicant's agent urges the Examiner to call to discuss the present response if anything in the present response is unclear or unpersuasive.

November 09, 2005

Date

Ware, Fressola, van der Sluys & Adolphson LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468-0224
Tel: (203) 261-1234
Cust. No.: 004955

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Shiming Wu', is written over a horizontal line.

Shiming Wu
Agent for the Applicant
Registration No. 56,885